Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

MAIL DATE

04/03/2007

DELIVERY MODE

PAPER

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE

3 MONTHS

—	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/018,609	RYTZ ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carolyn T. Blake	3724
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status · ·		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 November 2006</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-4,7,8 and 10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,7,8 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 13 November 2006 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/018,609

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 13, 2006 has been entered.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 1-3, 7, 8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolnosky (3,570,343) in view of Haack et al (4,905,556).

Wolnosky discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a press plate (34) having a V-ring cylinder (42), which is under pressure from a V-ring cylinder (88) comprising a V-ring piston rod connected to a V-ring piston (86) disposed opposite to and in support of the V-ring (42) of the press plate (34), and a blanking punch (54) which is guided in the press plate (34) and to which a die plate (24) with counter holder is assigned at a ram, wherein the ram is supported against at least one compensation cylinder (66/68) and against at least one main cylinder (58). In addition, Wolnosky discloses a hydraulic connection (64/90) comprising a tank (72, 92) and logic valve (82, 94).

Application/Control Number: 10/018,609

Art Unit: 3724

Wolnosky fails to disclose the compensation cylinders are hydraulically connected to the V-ring cylinder. However, Haack et al disclose an apparatus for blanking wherein cylinders from the upper and lower die shoes are connected hydraulically. This connection eliminates the need for several tanks while still allowing for individualized control of the different sections with separate valves. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the cylinders of the Wolnosky device hydraulically connected, as disclosed by Haack et al, for the purpose of eliminating a tank.

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolnosky in view of Haack et al as applied to claims 1 and 3 above, and further in view of Baltschun (6,240,818).

The Wolnosky-Haack combination fails to expressly disclose the cross-sectional area of the compensation pistons and V-ring pistons as claimed. However, Baltschun teaches the importance of equal piston areas of opposed cylinders in a blanking device in order to achieve an equilibrium state. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the pistons of the Wolnosky-Haack combination equal in cross-sectional area, as disclosed by Baltschun, for the purpose of creating an equilibrium state.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed November 13, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,609

Art Unit: 3724

Page 4

incorrect. Wolnosky actually discloses four types of cylinders including cylinders 52, 58,

Applicant argues Wolnosky does not show three types of cylinders.

66, and 68. Please also see rejection above.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Carolyn T. Blake whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4503. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday, 7:00 AM to 5:30

PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Boyer D. Ashley can be reached on (571) 272-4502. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

667

CB

March 28, 2007

BOYER D. ASHLEY